UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,268 12/21/2000		Roderick Nelson	1999-0021 . 7623	
33265 759 KLARQUIST SP		EXAMINER		
121 S.W. SALM(	ON STREET, SUITE	CHO, UN C		
ONE WORLD TRADE CENTER PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1011121112,01			2617	
		WW.		
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/745,268	09/745,268 NE		ELSON, RODERICK		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Un C. Cho		2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)□ T 3)□ S	Responsive to communication(s) filed on his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all losed in accordance with the practice un	] This action is no Howance except fo	or formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
44 5) □ 0 6) ☑ 0 7) □ 0 8) □ 0 Applicatio	ne specification is objected to by the Exa	thdrawn from cons rejected. and/or election rec	uirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) D Notice (3) D Informa	s)  of References Cited (PTO-892)  of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date	. 5	)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat )  Notice of Informal Pa )  Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2617

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 11, 21, 23, 24, 27 and 35 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of Sheffield U.S. Patent No. 6,603,966 B1 in view of Hawkes et al. (US 5,973,643). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Sheffield discloses transmitting a call comprising a communication signal from a mobile wireless device to a radio base station, the communication signal comprising call data; receiving the communication signal and delivering the communication signal to a switch that forwards the call; receiving the communication signal and obtaining uplink performance parameters associated with the communication signal; obtaining location information of the mobile wireless device by analyzing the

Application/Control Number: 09/745,268

Art Unit: 2617

communication signal; and evaluating the performance of the wireless system using the uplink performance parameters associated with the communication received from the mobile wireless device and the location information of the mobile wireless device. However, Sheffield as applied above does not specifically disclose using a first receiver for receiving the communication signal and delivering the communication signal to a switch that forwards the call and using a second receiver for receiving the communication and obtaining uplink performance parameters as well as location information of the mobile wireless device. In an analogous art, Hawkes discloses a base station having a plurality of receivers attached to an antenna of the base station whereas each receiver is assigned to perform a particular function such as connecting a call or positioning a mobile terminal's location. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Hawkes to the system of Sheffield to provide multiple receivers working in combination within a base station to balance the work load so that performance and position measurements as well as connecting calls can be made in an efficient manner.

Page 3

Regarding claims 2-7, 9-10, 12-17, 22, 25, 26, 28, 30-34 and 36, all the dependent claims which depend on the rejected independent claims, under double patenting, are also rejected under double patenting as well.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Un C. Cho whose telephone number is (571) 272-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on M ~ F 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Un C Cho Examiner Art Unit 2617 12/15/06 ce

JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER